

**FAIR HAVEN BOROUGH COUNCIL
JANUARY 9, 2023**

The meeting was called to order by Mayor Halpern at 6:05 p.m. The Flag Salute was followed by a Moment of Silent Reflection. The following Sunshine Law Statement was read:

IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE OPEN PUBLIC MEETINGS ACT, PUBLIC MEETINGS MAY BE HELD IN PERSON OR BY MEANS OF COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT TO INCLUDE STREAMING SERVICES AND OTHER ONLINE MEETING PLATFORMS (NJSA 10:4-8(b)).

THIS MEETING IS BEING HELD IN-PERSON AND THROUGH THE ZOOM MEETING PLATFORM, BEING BROADCAST FROM BOROUGH HALL, 748 RIVER ROAD, FAIR HAVEN, NJ. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION FOR THIS SPECIAL COUNCIL MEETING OF JANUARY 9, 2023 IS AVAILABLE BY CALL IN PHONE NUMBER OR THROUGH WEB CONFERENCE (ZOOM). MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WILL BE ON MUTE UNTIL IT IS TIME FOR QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS, WHICH WILL BE ANNOUNCED. AT THAT TIME, THE PUBLIC HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO QUESTION/COMMENT BY PHONE OR THROUGH ZOOM BY THE "RAISE HAND" BUTTON AND WILL BE CALLED ON AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME.

NOTICE OF THIS MEETING WAS INCLUDED IN A SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS WHICH WAS ADOPTED BY RESOLUTION NO. 2023-13 AND SENT TO THE ASBURY PARK PRESS, THE TWO RIVER TIMES, THE HUB AND THE STAR LEDGER ON JANUARY 6, 2023 POSTED ON THE BOROUGH WEBSITE, THE BULLETIN BOARD IN THE MUNICIPAL BUILDING AND HAS REMAINED CONTINUOUSLY POSTED AS REQUIRED UNDER THE STATUTE.

WITH ADEQUATE NOTICE HAVING BEEN GIVEN, THE BOROUGH CLERK IS DIRECTED TO INCLUDE THIS STATEMENT IN THE MINUTES OF THIS MEETING.

ROLL CALL

On Roll Call the following were present: Councilmembers Hoey, LaBarbera, Neff and Rodriguez. Absent: Councilmembers Cole and Koch. Others present: Administrator Casagrande, Attorney Sobel and Chief McGovern.

RESOLUTION

1. Resolution No. 2023-40, Executive Session - Personnel

WHEREAS, the Open Public Meetings, P. L. 1975, Chapter 231 permits the exclusion of the public from a meeting in certain circumstances; and

WHEREAS, this public body is of the opinion that such circumstances presently exist; and

WHEREAS, the Governing Body may wish to discuss the following matters:

Personnel

1. Police Interviews

WHEREAS, minutes will be kept and once the matter involving the confidentiality of the above no longer requires that confidentiality, then the minutes can be made public.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the public be excluded from this meeting.

Offered for adoption by Councilmember Rodriguez, second by Councilmember LaBarbera

Affirmative: Councilmembers Hoey, LaBarbera, Neff and Rodriguez

Negative: None

Abstain: None

Absent: Councilmembers Cole and Koch

Council went into executive session at 6:07 p.m. and this meeting was reconvened at 7:03 p.m.

At 7:04 p.m. Mayor Halpern welcomed everyone back to open session and acknowledged that it was Law Enforcement Appreciation Day. Councilwoman Cole joined the meeting.

PRESENTATION

Borough Facilities (Police Department and Department of Public Works). The Mayor asked that Brian Meade (Legacy Construction Management), Owner's Representative, come forward to make a presentation. Mr. Meade was tasked with costing out two potential options to intervene on the current conditions at the DPW (renovation and Butler Building); he was in attendance to provide the result of those options. Mr. Meade came forward and reviewed the approach and his estimates which

were displayed on the Council Chamber's TV screens. With the Butler Building option, it would involve demolition of the existing building to include the footings and foundation, preparing for the new building with footings and foundations where the pre-engineered building would be set in its place. Once the building is set, it will need to be fitted out (electrical, HVAC, plumbing for bathrooms, new office space and a separate mezzanine for storage). The same footprint and dimensions as the existing building would be used (7,000 square feet). There are allowances for finishes, storage racks, furniture and site work. Stormwater Management on the site would need to be addressed. Environmental remediation concerns were not addressed in the estimate. The cost of this work would be just under \$2.5 million and then added into that cost are the general conditions of the contractor, design contingencies, soft costs (attorney fees, engineering fees, bonding costs), etc. The estimate shown was put together in November/December 2022 with a target date of first quarter 2023. A total cost for this project would be \$4.4 million.

For renovating the DPW, it would be a much less scope of work. This would entail certain demolition (the exterior of the building would be removed - siding and windows would be taken off and replaced), the roof is a critical issue and would need to be replaced. There are structural items that need to be assessed for repair (four columns are questionable as far as integrity, and the existing roof rafters). The siding, windows, roof and overhead doors would need to be replaced as well as a portion of slab that is part of the building's interior (north side of the building) where the mechanic's pit is (needs to be filled in and the floor drains need to be fixed and leveled off). The office areas in the building will be gutted down to the framing to make it fire rated, replace the stairway to the second floor where there is an office; there will be all new finishes (sheet rock, ceiling, lighting, flooring, replacement of bathroom fixtures). The mechanicals will also be included (replacing boiler and extending piping and fixing the heating and air conditioning); same for the Butler Building. Improving the electrical system; not replacing the panel but adding breakers to it for additional circuits and a fire alarm system. There is new interior and exterior lighting proposed in both estimates (renovation and Butler Building). A mezzanine will be built along the back of the building for additional storage. Also, Stormwater Management that will need to be addressed. The estimate is for \$1.75 million and then soft costs as described earlier brings a total renovation cost just under \$3 million.

Council asked questions regarding percentages and inflation noted in the presentation, supply chain, timeline, etc. The Butler Building would take approximately 8-10 months to build, and a renovation would take approximately 8 months to complete. It all depends on any site work or environmental work that may be required. Mayor Halpern asked, based on what was proposed and discussed, what the longevity of the building (Butler vs. Renovation) would be, the same or one longer than the other. Mr. Meade said the Butler Building will last longer because the framing of the current building is from 1974 and will not change and renovation is prolonging/preserving what is in it. The Borough may be able to get another 20-25 years through renovation and a Butler Building will last 30-40 years. Councilman Rodriguez asked what the risk of not knowing the structural integrity of the building (foundation and footings) would be and if Mr. Meade recommends a structural engineer look at the building; he does recommend a structural engineer look at it to figure out the extent of the structural repairs. For the renovation, the estimates are based on what is visually accessible and seen. Administrator Casagrande said that she has already received a Structural Engineer proposal and is waiting on two other proposals. Councilwoman Cole asked when they are expected to be received; hopefully within the week. Discussion took place on how to address unknowns such as mold when the siding is removed or infestation. Administrator Casagrande asked if Mr. Meade has been involved with the construction of a Butler Building and who would design the interior of the building; the Borough would have to hire an Architect to design the interior.

Mr. Meade left the meeting at 7:25 p.m.

Mayor Halpern turned the meeting over to Councilman LaBarbera who had done quite a bit of work to prepare a presentation for the DPW and Police Department buildings. Making decisions and moving forward on those decisions to action are the priority for 2023.

Councilman LaBarbera made an opening statement before he gave his presentation recognizing the professionals and prior governing bodies for their work; this is not a small feat. The goal was to level-set for DPW all of the factual information to position the Council to outline what the decision points must be and making sure that there is fiscal awareness and responsibility. The presentation will provide "one-stop-shop" information. The reason that the Special Meeting was called is because there is no more time due to certain life and safety requirements for both facilities (which will be shown in the presentations). Each presentation given should be 15-22 minutes and then there will be time for questions and answers. Councilman LaBarbera asked to start with the DPW Power Point (hard copies were available to the public and also posted on the Borough's social media) to which he spoke to (reviewing pages 1-12). These are needs not wants. A timeline was reviewed with target dates. He noted that DPW has really evolved over the years and is a success

story. It is difficult to compare DPWs because of the neighborhood and area make up. As for life and safety, the building's roof has shingles and plywood falling off and hitting vehicles; it reached its end of life in 2016. There are footings in question that will be evaluated by a structural engineer. On the second floor, there is an office shared by four individuals; there are no windows or proper egress which is dangerous for an emergency such as fire. Code violations and requirements need to be addressed including stormwater management. For context, discussion regarding the DPW building began in 2014 which shows that the Council has taken a serious and dedicated approach to get this resolved and make decisions going forward. He noted DPW essential services that are provided by ten individuals (brush and leaf collection, snow removal, maintaining open space). He made reference to Brian Meade's November/December 2022 cost estimates showing a 61% reduction in original pricing; a tremendous amount of due diligence was put into this.

Councilwoman Neff asked about key need items (i.e. egress) and if renovation can address the issues or not? Yes, he explained how based on discussion he had with DCA. Councilman LaBarbera explained processes and evaluation of the building structure. She asked about the Butler Building, design and offices being able to meet the needs; as designed, it will meet the needs. Engineer Gardella addressed some questions and explained wants and needs and best approach to address the needs (life safety). Storage is the biggest challenge (sign shop, DPW equipment storage, Police Department equipment/items). Councilwoman Neff asked that the visibility of the vehicles and DPW operation be taken into consideration by keeping it from the resident's view.

Councilman Rodriguez thanked Councilman LaBarbera for the presentation and acknowledged former Councilwoman Sorensen who was in the audience. The Borough has been at this for a long time (2014). He was skeptical of renovation because of the current footings and foundation at DPW and what structure can handle. The Borough needs to take action and move quickly for our employee's safety. Councilwoman Cole thanked Councilman LaBarbera for the presentation and the research put into this; she appreciated his time and that it is fact based. This information helps to make good, real decisions by identifying an envelope of must dos that make sense with a number attached to it to set a budget. There is use conflict with DPW in a residential neighborhood. Councilwoman Hoey echoed Councilwoman Cole's comments and thanked Councilman LaBarbera for doing an excellent job and asked him what he needs from the governing body. Structural Engineer proposals are needed. Councilwoman Cole requested to see the proposals when received. Councilman LaBarbera said the roof bid is underway, we need a short list of architects, engage an LSRP and address fuel tanks. Engineer Gardella advised the fuel tank mother board has fried and the pump system is antiquated; we have had multiple failures. He is in the process of obtaining pricing and design from state contract vendors. Councilwoman Cole asked questions about the state contract and if it would provide estimates for a new fuel system; it would be new fuel pumps and the FOB key computer system to monitor fuel usage. She asked when Council will receive the information; it should be received any day.

Engineer Gardella gave a synopsis on the roof design for DPW and Borough Hall from 2014 which was shelved for obvious reasons. He reached out to the original company who installed the roof and the gentleman he dealt with has since retired. He sent the new company owner the information that he has, and they provided a revised proposal. A second and/or third quote is needed, and Engineer Gardella will reach out to some firms. There is an economy of scale for the DPW roof and lower roof at Borough Hall. Engineer Gardella asked for an economy of scale because the sites are so close; it was asked that it be broken out to show what the cost savings is.

Councilwoman Neff stated it is good to bring it back to the cost for the residents and what has been presented would cost \$1.4 million divided by 2,000 homes totalling approximately \$600 per resident; this is not a huge amount of money for the Borough and residents. It is understood that the Borough needs to be financially responsible, but the difference is not that substantial by household. Administrator Casagrande said Council needs to keep in mind that when Bond Counsel looks to go out to self-bond, they look at the asset (you can only sell the bond for the life of the asset) which will affect the debt service schedule over time. The Financial Advisor will advise what would be financially beneficial to the Borough. Councilwoman Cole said the governing body is reaching a state of readiness and she felt it would be beneficial to bring in our Bond Counsel and Financial Advisor to have a discussion and look at what our options are. Mayor suggested getting an analysis for DPW and PD (together, but separate).

Councilman LaBarbera asked what needs to be done to initiate a conversation with an LSRP before Council's January 23rd meeting. Engineer Gardella suggested that the prior proposals for scope of work be reviewed because the Borough halted everything when a crossroad was reached. He mentioned that at the police department there is a fuel tank that will need to be dealt with. There are a few proposals that have groundwork that was set; he and the Administrator can take a look at them before pressing the reset button on this. Councilman LaBarbera asked additional questions.

At 8:14 pm, the Police Department presentation was started. Councilman LaBarbera thanked

Chief McGovern for his time and answering all of the questions that were asked to provide factual information. There are two health and safety requirements to be discussed later in the presentation as well as whether the building should be renovated or built new. Whatever is done should support scalability (i.e. the ability for the police force to grow). The presentation slides, made available to the public, were reviewed (pages 1-13); some of the data was revised and posted earlier today. The design may need to have some revision as some building code requirements may be updated in March 2023; this is important to call out because we need to be in compliance with ADA. He reviewed critical requirement no. 1 (mold) and reviewed what is considered porous materials and how to address the situation (an appendix as part of the presentation provides more detail from the research done). The current police building is not up to code, and we have been cited for it (no sallyport, no female locker room, our cells). Prior safety incidences were cited to help quantify the risks. Councilman LaBarbera noted that a few of our officers go above and beyond the call of duty as members of the Rapid Deployment Force (RDF a/k/a SWAT), an officer is a Negotiator authorized to negotiate in a hostage situation (integrated with County and State), there is an Active Shooter Cadre (we are a members of the Monmouth County Active Shooter Response Team that is led by Chief McGovern) and we participate in NJ State Initiatives including unused prescription drug drop off. Our department is a two-officer minimum; comparisons to other towns that have two-officer minimums were provided i.e., buildings including square footage, population, households not including commercial buildings, students. Older buildings would be larger due to ADA requirements. Needs vs. wants was provided as well as design analysis (maintaining services while construction occurs, parking for vehicles, architectural drawings that include a community center). There is an underground tank at the police department location. Five potential solutions for the current situation at the police department were provided and reviewed. Taking no action **is not** an option.

Councilwoman Neff thanked Councilman LaBarbera for his great presentation for the police department. She said the Community Center is an important component and should not be excluded in terms of cost. She asked Council to consider the Community Center as a MUST HAVE because it serves so many purposes for our senior citizens, children, summer camp, etc. Bicentennial Hall can fulfill some needs, not all (not conducive for children and summer camp). She was willing to consider all options but felt the Community Center should be included in the plans/considerations. Councilwoman Cole took Councilwoman Neff's comments a little bit further and was in support of exploring the function, options, accommodations for our active community. The Community Center is used 300-400 times per year and does not meet the needs of the Borough; we cannot function without a one. It was suggested that we include it with the police department plan. Councilwoman Cole appreciated all of the information being consolidated into one place. She asked about square footage; a comparison was discussed, and Page 2 of the presentation was reviewed again. There are guidelines for how the building needs to be built and all of the requirements that need to be met. The cost to build is \$2.6 million not including the detached garage and community center which are approximately \$400,000 each.

Councilman Rodriguez warned Council to be careful about calculations because numbers were inflated. Councilwoman Neff asked if the Council wants to go with a new Architect and a completely new design or renovate what we have? She does not want to start from scratch. Our buildings have mold in them, and the Borough cannot prolong another year or two. Councilman LaBarbera said that a design/redesign takes six weeks. The Architect takes another three months for the details and construction package. Councilwoman Cole asked what Eli Goldstein quoted for square footage of police department building (7,000) and then Community Center. Councilwoman Neff asked if based on the information, a renovation is realistic and achievable. Chief McGovern advised that the police department cannot be renovated because the required standards by the Department of Corrections will not fit into the current building. Councilman LaBarbera said a new building at 35 Fisk Street is needed and makes most sense.

Councilman Rodriguez supported finishing the design for a Community Center; it is a must have and requirement before going out to bid. We also have designs that are combined with DPW; there are costs associated with "unstapling" the designs. Mayor Halpern said it is not necessary if the Borough is going with a new Architect. Councilwoman Neff played "Devil's Advocate" with the design; she was not married to the design, but an Architect may come back with a similar design. Councilwoman Cole believed the Borough owns the building plans, but it was designed too big which drives the cost. We need a modest police department; we don't need "bells and whistles" but to make the building functional and we need to move quickly. The Mayor offered to bring Eli Goldstein in for a discussion. From speaking with Mr. Goldstein several times, if we want to significantly change the square footage of the building, it is considered a redesign. We have paid \$600,000 to date. Mayor Halpern noted that everyone on Council agrees this is a priority. Councilman Rodriguez said the Borough needs to move forward and get it done because we face millions of dollars in potential lawsuits due to health and safety issues if we delay any further. Many people including the Facilities Committee have poured time and hours to come up with the design that we have, and the Borough should not delay this any longer.

The Mayor asked Chief McGovern if we have litigation with the matters at police department; we have mold issues. The building has been inspected 10-15 times in the last six years and they put in a filter system to keep the air clean, but it does not remove the source of the mold. The design was done by the experts and the plans have been downsized already. Mayor Halpern said the HVAC would be in the basement so we cannot eliminate the basement. Mayor and Council are trying to make the best decision for Fair Haven with the safety of the police department employees being paramount. Councilwoman Neff felt it is going to be another two years before the project is completed. Councilman LaBarbera said the Council needs confidence in the Architect with the Borough deciding the requirements (square footage and budget); there has been a tremendous amount of work done to date. Councilwoman Neff said the design has already been done by prior Council's and Facilities Committees, so it is hard to come into something that has already been done. It is important to have community feedback; there are a lot of considerations to look at and bring back to the public for comment. We need to work through differences, but not start anew. Mayor Halpern said it is not a matter of not liking the Architect, it is question on the size of the building.

Council had a conversation on the Architect and Owner's Representatives costs with 61% cost savings as well as the size of the building. Councilman LaBarbera explained how he developed his data points and what sticks out most in his presentation. Councilwoman Cole noted the Borough has a basic bones project that would drive the police department project compliance and a rough estimate of per square foot. Council needs to look to see how everything lines up with the program in the presentation this evening. There may be other items that are essential. Chief McGovern said compared to the current building, the only new areas are an additional office, female locker room, meeting room/training room and sally port. There were not a lot of extras requested. It was suggested that Architect Goldstein be asked what can be reduced and cost of redesign; he has the experience and outlay vs. a new architect coming in and reanalyzing what Architect Goldstein did. Councilwoman Neff suggested going out to bid to see what comes back from a price analysis. Councilwoman Cole disagreed with bidding and felt we should narrow down the plan to what we really want and what is critical. It was noted that four votes affirmative are needed to move forward with bidding the project.

Administrator Casagrande said one of the points that did come up in the Facilities Committee meetings was to try to keep the police department where they are during construction. We can scale down the building to be one floor vs. a two-story building, but there are differences between a one-story vs. two-story for egresses and stairways. Councilman LaBarbera and the Facilities Committee would like to speak with Architect Goldstein next week and have him attend the January 23rd meeting to speak to Council and discuss what we can redesign and what the costs would be.

Engineer Gardella said the site plan, as currently designed, is driven by the footprint of the new building. The parking lot layout, internal circulation based on vehicles and pedestrian to the fields and back building, linkages created were brought to the Planning Board. Reducing square footage would change the site work and we hope it is reusable. Councilwoman Cole said the site work and the layout pretty much meets the needs of the community (connections and circulation) except for a drop off behind the Community Center. Engineer Gardella said the only unknown is the parking demand which was still being looked at to make sure it met the needs of the fields and meeting room as well as surrounding parking and overflow parking on Third Street. Councilwoman Cole said there was a lot of discussion and information this evening to digest. These are also not the only two facilities in the Borough. Need to look at everything comprehensively and address maintenance issues of buildings and parks, Natural Area, etc.

Attorney Sobel noted that it appeared everyone had a concern with the buildings. Council cannot violate the Open Public Meetings Act; there would need to be another special meeting or have Architect Goldstein attend the January 23rd meeting. Councilmembers LaBarbera and Hoey can meet with Architect Goldstein and have a discussion for a true cost, and he can then provide information to the full governing body on January 23rd. Councilman LaBarbera and the Mayor said that the Borough needs to move swiftly because of the building conditions. Councilman Rodriguez said we need to understand the limitations (egress, restrooms, offices, stairways, elevators). Councilwoman Neff suggested looking at the assessments and see what we can eliminate and get a cost. Chief McGovern and Engineer Gardella were willing to meet with Architect Goldstein and see what the true number is to make a decision. There was brief discussion on adding the Community Center to the plan or separating the DPW and Police Department projects to get a true number.

Mayor Halpern read a response from Architect Goldstein that he received in the Fall 2022 when looking to reduce the square footage. Council agreed that the buildings are reaching emergency status if nothing is done. Councilman LaBarbera will continue research to come up with a true cost.

GOOD OF THE BOROUGH

The meeting was opened to the public for comments or questions at 9:27 p.m. Terry Simboli, Fisk Street (across from PD parking lot) had a question regarding the DPW presentation noting that there is a drainage issue and one of the bays would be eliminated. In the costs, it did not state if there would be drainage remediation afterward. Councilman LaBarbera said that yes, drainage would be addressed through Stormwater Management (data quantity and proper stormwater management as it exists at the site). She said it was stated that the Community Center should remain as part of the police building. She asked if there would be a police department with the Community Center attached like it is currently set up? Mayor Halpern said that it is thought to be a separate building set behind the police building. Mrs. Simboli asked if it would be in the Green Acres area? From the blue basketball courts, back is Green Acres. The Community Center was estimated to be built to the line. It was asked if parking at the police department has been addressed? Yes, it is part of the current design plan. Mrs. Simboli implored Mayor and Council to consider the surrounding area and some exterior design changes by making the buildings quaint so that they blend in with the community.

Wilson Rodriguez, Allen Street, congratulated Mayor Halpern, Councilman LaBarbera and Councilwoman Hoey. He thanked the governing body for championing the DPW and Police Department projects. With regard to DPW, he has been involved since 2016 and is disappointed that the Borough has not acted with urgency. He requested Mayor and Council consider the continuous resident feedback that has been given since 2018; the residents engaged a lot in the discussions. The recycling area is impeding traffic flow on Allen Street and affects the residents. The Borough needs to consider the closing of Third Street forces people to access the Recycling Center from Hendrickson and it becomes chaotic with people k-turning because they cannot use Third Street during certain hours. There needs to be privacy landscaping to help with aesthetics. Fair Haven Fields is highly used with sports programs (fall, spring and summer), we now have full-time Kindergarten and more students which will create it to be a highly used area. There is no flow on Third Street with all that is going on. The front parking area proposed in the new DPW design would help alleviate some of the issues and concerns.

Dick Fuller, Elmwood Lane, joined by Zoom. He wanted to clarify the discussion regarding exposure (not being in compliance) and asked if it is an unknown exposure or if it would be covered by insurance? Administrator Casagrande said there is insurance coverage and there are other mitigating factors that go into it for resolution. Mayor Halpern said there is an urgency because we want our employees working in a safe place. Mr. Fuller noted that in the discussions, the Council very rarely discusses the gain to the Borough.

Councilman Rodriguez left the meeting at 9:38 p.m. and joined via Zoom.

Mr. Fuller asked if Council would be having this meeting if we maintained the buildings properly and addressed the issues as we were made aware? Mayor Halpern said it is a good question and these are lessons learned that will help us move forward. Mr. Fuller asked if there will be rigorous maintenance plans put in place; Mayor Halpern said he is confident there will be and is a testament to Councilman LaBarbera and the work he did with many people's assistance to get to the plan and information he presented this evening. Councilman LaBarbera said that the Borough needs a maintenance plan for the facilities and Borough vehicles and that all is properly budgeted for. We are trying to avoid emergencies and have safe buildings and a maintenance plan. Mr. Fuller said that his generation are the residents that did not want to pay or step forward and the new generation will not put up with Borough assets being in the condition they are in. Capital money is used to get something i.e. improvement of productivity to get more where it will cost less or pay for past mistakes. Councilwoman Neff stated for good or for bad the buildings have fallen into disrepair and employees have taken it upon themselves to paint and maintain what they could; we need to provide safe and healthy buildings for our employees. Mr. Fuller said he wanted something less abstract to really point to having more opportunities and more productivity. Councilwoman Hoey asked if he was speaking about efficiencies with the technology to be put into place? Yes, productivity. He wanted to know what more his taxes can provide. He understood the importance of maintenance and keeping up with requirements; there needs to be further discussion on providing opportunities to produce for the residents directly i.e. the Community Center.

There being no further comments or questions, the meeting was closed to the public at 9:46 p.m.

ADJOURNMENT

Motion to adjourn moved by Councilmember LaBarbera, second by Councilmember Hoey with Ayes by all present.

Time of Adjournment: 9:46 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Allyson M. Cinquegrana, RMC/CMR
Borough Clerk